

Re: Nearly Finished with Libby Amphibole

Eric Borton to: Hilbert, Timothy (hilbertj)

02/09/2011 01:57 PM

From: Eric Borton <eric.borton@uc.edu>

To:

Cc: Benson.Bob@epamail.epa.gov, "Lemasters, Grace (lemastgj)" <LEMASTGJ@ucmail.uc.edu>, "Lockey, James (lockeyje)" <lockeyje@UCMAIL.UC.EDU>, "Rice, Carol (ricech)" <ricech@ucmail.uc.edu>, "Borton, Eric (bortonek)" <BORTONEK@UCMAIL.UC.EDU>

Bob,
Only worker 16920 was deemed bilateral.
Eric

On 2/9/2011 2:17 PM, Hilbert, Timothy (hilbertj) wrote:

> Bob, Attached is an updated final report with a modified version
of the CHEEC formula. Let me know if you have any concerns with
it.

>

> You should be hearing from Jim and Eric regarding answers to your
questions.

>

> Thanks

> Tim

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Benson.Bob@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Benson.Bob@epamail.epa.gov]

> Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2011 12:30 PM

> To: Hilbert, Timothy (hilbertj)

> Subject: Nearly Finished with Libby Amphibole

>

>

> I think I am nearly finished with the Libby Amphibole project. I
will send you the final version of the RfC document if NCEA
scientists finally agree with our modeling approach.

>

> I incorporated the references you supplied on pleural change and
lung function. I did not include the Hillerdal reference re:
increased risk of mesothelioma.

>

> I am wondering why the Rohs paper did not present the data and
discuss bilateral pleural change. Do you think there is a
difference in the degree of adversity or specificity of effect for

bilateral versus unilateral changes in the pleura from exposure to fibers?

>

> Did either worker #16920 or #19982 have bilateral pleural changes in the 1980 study?

>

> I need the CHEEC formula corrected in your report. Here is my suggested version with my changes in Red. If this is OK, please incorporate into the final report.

>

> (See attached file: Correction of CHEEC Formula.docx)